

JETC 2013 - PhD Contest Evaluation Form

Student/Post-Doc last name _____

Evaluator's last name _____ Signature _____

Scientific "impact" of the work (25%)

25	16	11	5	Mark
Excellent work on the scientific point of view; rigorous method, extremely important findings	Physically sound; rigorous most of the work; important findings	average work; physically consistent but method can be improved	scientific method and approach is questionable and it makes the results questionable	/25

Topic knowledge (20%)

20	15	10	5	Mark
excellent grasp of the topic. excellent mastery of content,. Excellent research depth.	General grasp of the material. good mastery of content, application and implications. Good research depth.	some grasp of the material. Adequate mastery of content, research not very deep.	poor grasp of the material. superficial handling of content, application and implications. Little depth of research.	/20

Organization (20%)

20	15	10	5	Mark
Always clear, concise, well organized. Points easy to follow. Transitions between sections smooth and coordinated.	Usually clear, concise, well organized. Most of the presentation is easy to follow.	Not always clear or concise. Organization adequate, but weak. Sometimes difficult to follow. Transitions between sections weak.	Often unclear and disorganized. The presentation is confusing and difficult to follow.	/20

Visual aids (15%)

15	11	7	4	Mark
Novel handouts, visual aids, or methods.	clear, easy to interpret, easy to read. well coordinated with content, design fine, generally used effectively	acceptable, too complex, crowded, difficult to read or interpret. Adequate coordination with content.	Poor quality visual aids (or none), hard to read, technically inaccurate, poorly constructed. Poor coordination with content.	/15

Stage presence (10%)

10	7	5	2	Mark
Excellent stage presence. Confident, at ease, excellent gestures, good eye contact.	Good stage presence. Fairly confident, good gestures, attention and eye contact.	Adequate stage presence. Read parts, minimal eye contact,	Poor stage presence. Unprepared, turned from audience to read overheads.	/10

Relevance to the JETC community (10%)

10	7	5	2	Mark
the topic is of general interest to the whole JETC community; interdisciplinary aspects	the topic is of interest to most of the JETC community;	the topic is of relevance to a small part of the JETC community, since it is very specific	the topic if of very small or no relevance to the JETC community	/10

TOTAL /10